



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/622,593	09/622,593 10/23/2000		Bo Olsson	194667US2PCT	8721	
		11/15/2004 MCCLELLAND, I	MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAM	EXAMINER-	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/622,593	OLSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph T Phan	2645	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>20 October 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper

		hea	ading or in the proper order.
2.			e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a tement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.			e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page d line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		Th	e brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A s	single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)		the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		Th	e brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		Th	e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	\boxtimes	Otl	her (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		<u>sea</u>	e appeal brief contains newly added claim limitations that raises new issues and would require further consideration and arch. The claims presented in the brief are not the pending claims rejected in the Final office action mailed by Examiner 5/21/2004 and therefore makes the appea brief defective.

FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Specifically in new claims 1 and 9 the limitations "establishes a wireless connection" and in claim 3 "message stored in advance" carry different meaning than the prior claim language used and therefore would require further consideration

July

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 3-98)

and/or search.

Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Part of Paper No. 11092004